

PROPOSAL

~~April 9, 2017~~ April 10, 2017

Use of the Cynnabar Baronial Trailer.

The Baronial Trailer was purchased specifically to store and move the Baronial List Field Equipment.

The List Field is defined as the stiles, rails, wedges and other parts and tools needed to erect a list enclosure.

In future the Baronial Trailer will be used only for storage of the Baronial List Field, for transport of that same List Field Equipment and then only to those events where the List Field is needed.

This does not except the transport of other items of Baronial Equipment that will pack safely with the List Field. This may include related equipment such as the padded list flooring, the Thrones, Banners, Poles or Stands for displaying them, The Stone of Cynnabar, and other items for creation of the Baronial Presence.

This Proposal, if accepted, shall become an item on the list of the Baronial Financial Policies and may be amended as specified therein.

DRAFT Presented to Baronial Meeting 4/10/17

Written by Mistress Kay of Tre Asterium (D. Kay Jarrell), Seneschal for Cynnabar, at the direction of the Cynnabar Baronial Meeting of 3 /27/17.

Copy editing provided by Forester Alexander Macintosh of Islay (Daniel K. Jarrell)

Discussion on 4/10 included:

Should we allow other uses at this time at all??

Formatted: Font: Bold

Should we now specify what and who could use the trailer for other than the above?

Option: Allow the List Field Trailer to be used for other purposes with a standard 3 meeting proposal.

Should we add Maintenance of the Trailer to this Policy? This is a safety issue for drivers of the Trailer and for the Barony as the Owner. Who is responsible for the Maintenance and Safety Checks on the trailer? Is this a job for the Exchequer or the Quartermaster? Should we create a Maintenance Policy for the Trailer?

Suggestion: Have an annual inspection in addition to a Pre-use Checklist. A trailer that sits can develop flat tires or other issues.

Formatted: Underline

DO we have a spare tire? NO. Get one.

Is it wise to allow the amendment of a policy controlling our most expensive asset as the moment (or month) dictates? [Kay's question]